(Rs. in Crores)

	Tata Teleservices					
	Handsets Insurance			Default Liability		
	Premium	Incurred claims	Claims paid	Premium	Incurred claims	Claims paid
NIC 2004-05	2.88	0.0012	0.0003	5.04	10.14	3.42

Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) in its report has observed that while underwriting the non-conventional policies, which had serious financial implications, the operating offices did not exercise due diligence and caution and did not ensure the compliance of guidelines issued by Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority, General Insurers' Public Sector Association (GIPSA) and Head Offices of NIC/OIC.

(b) GIC is no more a holding company of public sector general insurance companies. In the light of their adverse claim experience, the companies have reported that they are taking adequate precautions in underwriting non-conventional and tailor made policies.

Development of Tourism in Western States

*230. SHRI RAJU PARMAR: Will the Minister of TOURISM be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government have not formulated any scheme to develop tourism in the Western States;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether proposals received from Western States for financial assistance have not been cleared so far; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor and by when these proposals are likely to be cleared?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF TOURISM (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) and (b) No, Sir. The Ministry of Tourism has formulated several schemes for development and promotion of tourism in

the country which are applicable to all States/UTs and not any particular region. The schemes for integrated development are as under:—

(i) Product Infrastructure and Destination Development.

(ii) Tourist Circuits.

(iii) Assistance to Large Revenue Generating Projects.

(c) and (d) All project proposals which are complete in all respects, are appraised on *inter-se* priority basis and funds released, subject to availability under the respective head in the financial year concerned. A Statement showing projects sanctioned for different States in the country during the last three years is enclosed.

Statement

State-wise Tourism Projects Sanctioned during the Tenth Five Year Plan (as on 31.3.2005)

(Rs. in Lakhs)

		2002-03		**2003-04**		**2004-05**	
SI. No.	State/UT	No. of Project Sancd.	Amount Sancd.	No. of Project Sancd.	Amount Sancd.	No. of Project Sancd.	Amount sanctioned
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2	507.50	6	946.50	16	2827.19
2.	Assam	9	768.13	3	313.46	8	986.03
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	5	41.30	6	1044.60	9	1325.50
4.	Bihar	8	505.00	6	1019.42	7	1901.43
5.	Chhattisgarh	9	308.00	6	1005.00	6	1117.94
6.	Goa	1	0.50	2	36.76	3	110.00
7.	Gujarat	2	197.12	8	920.51	2	138.93
8.	Haryana	8	332.25	16	1215.38	6	693.55
9.	Himachal Pradesh	30	779.32	4	182.32	12	2680.00
10.	J&K	3	94.38	5	895.00	5	819.25
11.	Jharkhand	0	0	2	1109.00	2	945.91
12.	Karnataka	6	902.49	14	932.66	12	2461.76
13.	Kerala	11	861.36	6	608.50	10	2283.63
14.	Madhya Pradesh	18	711.18	10	621.90	11	1595.19
15.	Maharashtra	8	623.46	10	931.83	10	1620.62
16.	Manipur	2	5.24	1	82.44	0	0.00

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
17.	Meghalaya	3	70.35	2	40.22	2	963.30
18.	Mizoram	6	141.16	5	567.70	6	1086.35
19.	Nagaland	5	360.50	4	711.00	7	2250.69
20.	Orissa	2	47.50	5	419.55	8	1320.74
21.	Punjab	3	23.00	2	96.00	7	724.68
22.	Rajasthan	13	1098.70	14	1644.81	13	2516.61
23.	Sikkim	13	346.24	8	1151.09	8	660.81
24.	Tamil Nadu	5	559.00	14	1339.82	7	1308.92
25.	Tripura	5	216.13	6	450.17	1	20.00
26.	Uttaranchal	3	548.00	4	230.44	7	2199.98
27.	Uttar Pradesh	3	295.00	7	1115.80	9	1044.93
28.	West Bengal	5	201.10	10	717.44	10	513.04
29.	Andaman & Nicobar	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
30.	Chandigarh	3	7.75	2	10.00	3	467.00
31.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	2	8.07	0	0	0	0.00
32.	Delhi	14	504.00	17	3316.28	8	628.85
33.	Daman & Diu	3	49.50	1	265.07	0	0.00
34.	Lakshadweep	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
35.	Pondicherry	2	7.87	1	245.17	2	451.00
	TOTAL :	212	11121.10	207	24185.84	217	37663.83

Monitoring system to check printing of fake stamps

†*231. SHRIMATI PREMA CARIAPPA:
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the report of Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) which mentioned that no monitoring is done or secret system installed in the Security Press of Nasik to ward off counterfeit stamps and danger to security;

(b) if so, the reasons for non adoption of any monitoring or secret system despite the serious incident of counterfeit stamp paper case; and

(c) by when Government would make proper arrangement for monitoring or installing secret system in the Security Press of Nasik?

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.